

# Oxford Democrat.

VOLUME 5.

PARIS, MAINE, TUESDAY, MARCH 13, 1838.

NUMBER 30.

## OXFORD DEMOCRAT.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY BY  
G. W. MILLETT.  
TERMS—One dollar and fifty cents in advance.  
One dollar & seventy-five cents at the end of six months.  
Two dollars at the end of the year.

No paper discontinued till all dues are paid, but at the option of the Publisher.  
ADVERTISEMENTS inserted on the usual terms, the proprietor not being accountable for any error in any advertisement beyond the amount charged for it.  
Communications, and letters on business must be addressed to the publisher, Post-paid.

At a numerous and highly respectable meeting of the Democratic Republicans of the city of Portland and its vicinity, held at the City Hall on the 6th day of March, A. D. 1838, to take into consideration the circumstances attending the murder of Hon. JONATHAN CILLEY, late a member of Congress from Maine.

JOHN ANDERSON was chairman.  
A. W. H. CLAPP, and  
G. B. SMITH, of Portland, and  
GERRY COOK, of Westbrook, Secretaries.

On Motion of Wm. P. PREBLE, Voted that Messrs Wm. P. PREBLE, DANIEL WINSLOW, H. W. GREENE, JOSHUA EMERY, NATH'L MITCHELL, CHARLES STAPLES, CHARLES Q. CLAPP, of Portland, JOHN WEBB, of Windham, SAM'L JORDAN, of Westbrook, CHARLES MARCH, of Gorham, STEPHEN MORRILL, of Falmouth, and NATHANIEL CHAMBERLAIN, of Scarborough, be a Committee to consider and report what measures are proper to be adopted on this occasion. This Committee withdrew to an adjoining room, and after consideration, reported the following Resolutions, which were read & unanimously adopted.

Resolved, That we disapprove and condemn the practice of duelling as a violation of the laws of God—as a system pertaining only to an age of comparative barbarism—a system which in its operation reduces the great and good to a level with the debased and brutalized of mankind—a system, which puts in the power of the practiced, hardened, cold blooded duellist, to take his own worthless life at his own pleasure against the life of another who is the idol of his friends, a benefactor to his species, and an honor to his country.

Resolved, That while we cannot believe the late Hon. JONATHAN CILLEY was in the way of his duty in suffering himself to be drawn in, to jeopardize a life so precious to those who loved him, so invaluable to his friends, and so important to his country; we do honor and appreciate those noble hearted, generous, elevated, but mistaken feelings, which led him to stand forth a champion for the constitutional right of debate and a fearless vindicator of the equal privilege and claims to respect of the extension of the North, against that system of blistering vituperation and vulgar bullying of which the Halls of Congress are too often the theatre.

Resolved, That we will ever cherish within the innermost folds of our hearts the memory of JONATHAN CILLEY; and that from the example of his resolute and fearless self devotion to the cause of freedom, and what he believed to be the rights of his constituents and of the people of Maine, assailed in the person of their representative, we will derive new strength to our attachment and devotion to free principles, and new energies to sustain them.

Resolved, That the proceedings resorted to to provoke Mr CILLEY to a duel and compel him to accept a challenge were neither called for nor justified by any adequate cause on his part even according to the barbarous code of duelling; while on the other hand, the circumstances disclosed in the history of the transaction, prove it to have been the result of a foul conspiracy and approved among a few political leaders, to take advantage of Mr CILLEY, and draw him into a quarrel in order that they might seize upon the opportunity afforded to gratify personal feelings of private malice and revenge, and remove out of the way an opponent, every day becoming more and more formidable, whose eloquent appeals and retorted sarcasms it would be more easy to silence by the pistol than answer in debate.

Resolved, That in the course pursued by Henry A. Wise in managing and conducting the incidents of the duel after the first fire, there is evidence of deep and vindictive malignity; and that he stands justly chargeable before the world, upon his own showing, of having violated every principle of honorable chivalry, by availing himself of his position and the occasion to glut his own feelings of private grudge and ill will against Mr. CILLEY for a former supposed offence given by the deceased not to his principal, Graves, but to himself Wise—a course of conduct worthy only of a recreant and a dastard.

Resolved, That the studied attempt, made by Henry A. Wise, to palliate and gloss over his conduct during the duel, apparent in the imperfect, but official account, so called, of the doings, and the special desire, expressed in that account, that those who witnessed the scene, should make no publication on the subject, afford violent presumptive evidence of a consciousness that there were deeds of darkness and treachery in the history of the conflict which would not bear to be told; while on the other hand the careful insertion in that account of a statement that Mr. Wise inquired of Mr.

Jones before leaving the ground, whether he could render any service and tendered all the aid in his power, the murder having already been perpetrated and the lifeless corpse of Mr. CILLEY then lying stretched out before him, is a derision and a mockery upon the better feelings of our nature, worthy only of the man who could coolly triumph over the fallen victim of his own foul machinations.

Resolved, That in the transaction which terminated in the death of Mr. CILLEY considered under the mildest and most mitigated features given to it by those who took part in it, there is presented to the people of Maine a case of ruthless assassination—of premeditated, cold blooded murder of one of their representatives for having boldly and fearlessly done his duty, and being resolved to continue to do it—a case calling loudly upon Congress to institute a rigid and searching investigation, to the end that the people of this State and of the United States may be put in possession of the facts in all their details, and in all their enormity; facts which ought not to be suppressed from motives of the supposed policy of concealing from the people that violence and iniquity are from the public councils, or of promoting a hollow and treacherous truce; but which ought to be spread publicly before an enlightened world, that the gettys and promoters of this cruel tragedy may be held up as objects of the withering scorn of outraged humanity.

Resolved, That a Committee be appointed to address a letter of condolence and sympathy in behalf of the Democratic Republicans of this city and vicinity to the bereaved and afflicted widow of Mr CILLEY; and also to his honored mother, honored in her father who signed the declaration of independence, and honored in her even in his last fatal act, cherished son, who, the belief that he should meet her approbation.

Resolved, That there be appointed a committee of correspondence consisting of ten, whose duty it shall be to advise and consult with the democratic republicans of other towns in this State, on the best means of sustaining the independence of our representatives in Congress in the fearless discharge of their duty and of expressing in the most effectual manner their sense of the outrage done to the people of Maine in the person of one of their Representatives.

After the adoption of the resolutions: Messrs. Mark Harris, Charles Holden, Charles Jordan, Parker McCook and Zachariah B. Stevens, were appointed the Committee to address letters of condolence to the widow and the mother of the late Hon. Jonathan CILLEY, in accordance with the eighth resolution.

Messrs W. P. Preble, Wm. Moulton, Joseph Howard, Thomas Todd, John F. Hartley, H. W. Green, Nath'l. Mitchell, John W. Smith, Aug. Haines and J. L. Megquier, were appointed a committee of correspondence in accordance with the ninth resolution.

The meeting was addressed by the Chairman, and by Messrs Haines, Preble, Howard, Hartley, Greene, Alden and Megquier.

Voted, That the proceedings of this meeting signed by the Chairman and Secretaries be published in the Democratic papers of this State and New Hampshire be requested to copy the same.

Adjourned.  
JOHN ANDERSON, Chairman.  
A. W. H. CLAPP, } Secretaries.  
G. B. SMITH, }  
GERRY COOK, }

## STATEMENT OF THE SECONDS.

It was agreed that the parties should meet at the Anacostia bridge, on the road to Marlborough in Maryland, between the hours of 1 1-2 and 2 1-2 o'clock, P. M. and if either got there first he should wait for the other, and that they would then proceed out of the District. Accordingly, the parties met at the bridge, Mr. CILLEY and his party arrived there first, and all proceeded, about 2 o'clock, P. M. to the place of meeting. On arriving at the place, Mr. Jones and Mr. Wise immediately proceeded to mark off the ground. They then decided the choice of positions. Mr. Wise won the position, and consequently Mr. Jones had the giving of the word. At this time Mr. Jones was informed by Mr. Wise that two gentlemen (Mr. Callhoun of Kentucky and Mr. Hawes of Kentucky) were at some distance off, spectators, but that they should not approach upon the ground. Mr. Jones replied that he objected to their coming on the ground, as it was against the articles of the meeting, but he entertained for them the highest respect. Mr. Wise also informed Mr. Jones that, contrary to the terms, he had brought the ground two rifles; that if he (Mr. Jones) required him to do so, he would immediately send one of them away. Upon Mr. Jones finding that the rifle was unloaded, he consented that it should remain in one of the carriages.

There was, it is proper to remark, several persons on the ground, besides the hack-drivers and the two gentlemen before mentioned at a distance, who were there without the authority or consent of either party or their friends, as is known either to Mr. Jones or Mr. Wise, and one of these persons was supposed to be the owner of the field. Shortly after the hour of 2 o'clock P. M. the rifles were loaded in presence of the seconds; the parties were called together; they were fully instructed by Mr. Jones as to their position, and the words twice repeated to them, as they should be, and as they were delivered to them, in the exchange of shots. After this they were ordered to their respective positions, the seconds assumed their places, and the friends accompanying the seconds were disposed along the line of fire to observe that each obeyed the terms of meeting. Mr. Jones gave the word distinctly, audibly, and in regular succession, and the parties exchanged shots without violating in the least a single instruction. They both missed. After which Mr. Wise called upon the friends generally to assemble and hear what was to be said. Upon the assembling of the friends, Mr. Jones inquired of Mr. Wise whether his friend (Mr. Graves) was satisfied? Mr. Wise immediately said in substance "Mr. Jones, these gentlemen have come here without animosity towards each other; they are fighting merely upon a point of honor; they cannot Mr. CILLEY assign some reason for not receiving at Mr. Grave's hands Col. Webb's communication, or make some disclaimer which will relieve Mr. Graves from his position?" Mr. Jones replied in substance: "Whilst the challenge is impending, Mr. CILLEY can make no explanations." Mr. Wise said, in substance: "The exchange of shots suspends the challenge, and the challenge is suspended for the purpose of explanation." Mr. Jones thereupon said he would see Mr. CILLEY, and did go to him. He returned, and asked Mr. Wise again: "Mr. Wise, do I understand aright that the challenge is suspended?" Mr. Wise answered: "It is." Mr. Jones was then about to proceed, when Mr. Wise suggested that it was best, perhaps, to give the explanation or reason in writing. Mr. Jones then said, in substance: "Mr. Wise, if you require me to put what I have to say in writing, I shall require you to put what you have said, and may say, in writing." Mr. Wise replied: "Well, let us hear the explanation beforehand, as it may not be necessary to put it in writing." Mr. Jones then proceeded, as he now thinks substantially to say: "I am authorized by my friend, Mr. CILLEY, to say that in declining to receive the note from Mr. Graves, purporting to be from Col. Webb, he meant no disrespect to Mr. Graves, because he entertained for him then, as he now does, the highest respect and the most kind feelings; but that he declined to receive the note, because he chose not to be drawn into a controversy with Col. Webb." Mr. Wise thinks this answer of Mr. Jones was in substance as follows: "I am authorized by my friend, Mr. CILLEY, to say, that in declining to receive the note from Mr. Graves, purporting to be from Col. Webb, he meant no disrespect to Mr. Graves, because he entertained for him then, as he now does, the highest respect and the most kind feelings; but my friend refuses to disclaim disrespect for Col. Webb, because he does not choose to be drawn into an expression of opinion as to him." Such is the substantial difference now between the two seconds, as to this answer of Mr. Jones. The friends on each side, with the seconds, then retired from each other to consult upon this explanation. After consultation, Mr. Wise returned to Mr. Jones, and said: "Mr. Jones, this answer leaves Mr. Graves precisely in the position in which he stood when the challenge was sent." Much conversation then ensued between the seconds and their friends, but no nearer approach to reconciliation being made, the challenge was renewed, and another shot was exchanged in a manner perfectly fair and honorable to all parties. After this, the seconds and friends again assembled, and the challenge was withdrawn, and very similar conversations to that after the first exchange of shots again ensued. Mr. Jones then remarked: "Mr. Wise, my friend, in coming to the ground, and exchanging shots with Mr. Graves, has shown to the world, that in declining to receive the note of Col. Webb, he did not do so because he dreaded a controversy. He has shown himself a brave man, and disposed to render satisfaction to Mr. Graves. I do think that he has done so, and that the matter should end here." To this, Mr. Wise replied in substance: "Mr. Jones, Mr. CILLEY has already expressed his respect for Mr. Graves in the written correspondence, and Mr. Graves does not require of Mr. CILLEY a certificate of character for Col. Webb, he considers himself bound not only to preserve the respect due to himself, but to defend the honor of his friend, Col. Webb." These words of Mr. Wise, Mr. Jones recollects, and Wise thinks he added the words: "Mr. Graves only insists that he has not borne the note of a man who is not a man of honor, and not a gentleman." After much more conversation, and ineffectual attempts to adjust the matter, the friends were again loading the rifles for the third exchange of shots, Mr. Jones and Mr. Wise walked apart, and each proposed to the other anxiously to settle the affair.

Mr. Wise asked Mr. Jones "If Mr. CILLEY could not assign the reason for declining to receive the note of Col. Webb, that he (Mr. CILLEY) did not hold himself accountable to Col. Webb for words spoken in debate?" Mr. Jones replied that "Mr. CILLEY would not assign that reason, because he did not wish to be understood as expressing the opinion whether he was or was not accountable for words spoken in debate."

Mr. Wise then, according to his recollection, asked Mr. Jones whether "Mr. CILLEY could not say, that in declining to receive the note of Col. Webb, he meant no disrespect to Mr. Graves, either directly or indirectly?" To which Mr. Jones replied affirmatively, adding, "Mr. CILLEY entertains the highest respect for Mr. Graves, but declined to receive the note, because he chose to be drawn into no controversy with Col. Webb." After further explanatory conversation, the parties then exchanged the third shot, fairly and honorably, as in every instance. Immediately previous to the last exchange of shots, Mr. Wise said to Mr. Jones, "If this matter is not terminated this shot, and is not settled, I will propose to shorten the distance." To which Mr. Jones replied, "after this shot, without effect, I will entertain the proposition."

After Mr. CILLEY fell, Mr. Wise, for Mr. Graves, expressed a desire to Mr. Jones to see Mr. CILLEY. Mr. Jones replied to Mr. Wise, "My friend is dead," and went on to Mr. Graves, and told him that there was no objection to his request to see Mr. CILLEY. When Mr. Jones approached Mr. Graves, and informed him that his request should be granted, Mr. Graves inquired, "How is he?" The reply was, "My friend is dead, sir." Mr. Graves then went to his carriage. Mr. Wise inquired of Mr. Jones, before leaving the ground, whether he could render any service, and tendered all the aid in his power. Mr. Wise and Mr. Jones concurred that there were three shots exchanged.

Such is the naked statement of all the material facts and circumstances attending this unfortunate affair of honor, which we make in justice to our friends, to ourselves, to all concerned, to the living and the dead; and it is made for the only purpose of allaying excitement in the public mind, and to prevent any and all further controversy upon a subject, which already is full enough of woe. We have fully and substantially stated wherein we agree and disagree. We cordially agree, at all events, in bearing unqualified testimony to the fair and honorable manner in which this duel was conducted. We endeavored to discharge our duties according to the code under which the parties met, regulated by magnanimous principles, and the laws of humanity. Neither of us has taken the least exception to the course of the other; and we sincerely hope that here all controversy whatever will cease. We especially especially desire our respective friends to make no publication on the subject. None can regret the termination of the affair more than ourselves, and we hope again that the last of it will be the signatures of our names to this paper, which we now affix.

GEO. W. JONES,  
HENRY A. WISE.

From the Portland Standard.

Let every candid mind examine the character of the transactions relative to the death of Mr. CILLEY, even as developed in the lame, hesitating, imperfect, and partial statement of the seconds on the occasion and what it is but murder? Mr. CILLEY had no animosity towards Mr. Graves, Mr. CILLEY's refusal to accept the note of Col. Webb borne by Mr. Graves was accompanied by an expression of his high respect for the bearer. He did not even express an unfavorable opinion of Col. Webb—but refused to consider him a party in the controversy or to admit or deny the respectability of his character. What he had said of Col. Webb and all that he had said at any time, he said in the discharge of his duty as a Representative on the floor of the House. Under the brutal and bloody code of the duellist, which Mr. CILLEY unfortunately recognized on this occasion, the refusal was construed into an insult to the bearer. The challenge was accepted. Both parties professing to entertain respect for each other—there being no quarrel between them, the duel which followed was on a point of mere etiquette and according to the universal practice of duellists, a single exchange of shots was all the satisfaction that could be rightfully demanded. So far as there had been any insult offered to Mr. Graves, even under the senseless requirements of the duellists code, it was satisfied by a single exchange of shots, by which each party demonstrated that he had the courage to sustain the combat—the only point, in such a case, involved in the controversy between the parties. The seconds knowing the practice and the principles of the code they had undertaken to administer—must have known that forcing the controversy beyond the first fire was instigating the perpetration of a foul murder. Even upon the principles of duelling itself, brutal and senseless as it is, we do not believe that a jury of twelve honest men can be found in the country, who would hesitate to bring in a verdict of murder upon the facts appearing in this case. Look at the official statement of the seconds—and is there not evidence enough to fix upon the transaction the stigma of a cold blooded assassination? The statement is drawn up with an evident intent to cover up some of the material facts in the case, and ends with an insulting wish that no further inquiry should be made, and no more agitation of the subject should ensue! Barring, beyond a question, a consciousness that all had not been right—Mr. Jones and Mr. Wise put forward at the termination of every fire, the alternative of either an absolute retraction of what Mr. CILLEY had said in the discharge of his duty in the House as a representative of the people in relation to Webb, or the continued exchange of shots until one of the parties had fallen. Even these blood-hounds went further and demanded the admission that the infamous Webb, was a "man of honor"—when Mr. CILLEY had refused to say anything in relation to his character either by admission or denial. We know nothing, ourselves of the principles of the brutal code of blood—but upon them as they are stated in extracts from federal papers and from a statement of one professing to know the practice in a French paper at N. Y. we can regard the transaction—even upon the principles of duelling, in no other light than a cool, deliberate, premeditated assassination—and the seconds who instigated or permitted the firing to continue to a fatal issue, ought upon every principle of justice to be held accountable for the murder. In our estimation no more direct proof of malice aforethought, a predetermination to take life, can be produced in ninety nine cases out of a hundred where the culprits have been hanged as murderers.

But let the Journal of Commerce, a federal paper, bear its testimony on this occasion. Its judgment cannot be supposed to be swayed by political predices against Wise and his abettors. "After Jones returned, the last time from the conference with Wise, and reported Wise's reply, Mr. CILLEY said, in a calm and collected tone—"they thirst for my blood." Mark the result:—Graves, said Wise, You have fired too high, aim lower! Graves took the advice, and CILLEY fell a corpse. Here are the uncontradicted facts stated by those who were present: & which are, no doubt, true. CILLEY fought under disadvantages which must have been well known to those on the other side; and which induces some persons here to say that Mr. CILLEY's seconds ought never to have suffered him to fight under them at all.

Cilley was near-sighted, and had chosen a certain distance—60 yards, I believe—but the seconds in measuring it off made it as long as they could, and there is credible testimony that the distance was actually a hundred yards.

1st. Cilley could not see to shoot at that distance.

2d. His rifle was so light, ninety balls to the pound, that it would not carry that distance with accuracy.

3d. He was shooting against the wind, and the wind was blowing a gale, which was a great disadvantage.

4th. He stood on a rising ground, in open light, preventing a plain work, while his antagonist was shaded by a copse of wood.

Under all these disadvantages, after disclaiming all enmity to Graves, and after every technical requisition preliminary to accommodation in honorable duelling, and even after he had declared that he did not wish to take Graves's life, Mr. CILLEY was SHOT DOWN! Is it wonderful that the public mind is uneasy under such circumstances?

That there was a settled determination to assassinate Cilley appears from a statement in the N. Y. American, published as that paper says "in justice to Mr. Webb."

The following is there stated as the intended course of this desperado,

"That Col. Webb, accompanied by Mr. CILLEY, properly armed, should repair to Mr. CILLEY's room, when Mr. Webb should offer Mr. CILLEY the choice of his duelling pistols, with the following alternatives: Either then and there to settle the question, or pledge his word of honor that he would give Col. Webb a meeting before Mr. Graves, at such place and time, and with such weapons as Mr. CILLEY might appoint; and, in the event of doing neither, then to expect the most serious consequences on the spot." Mr. Webb then added, "Should he refuse either to fight me at the time, or give the pledge required, I shall have no alternative left but to shatter his right arm, and thereby prevent his meeting my friend."

At his request, I immediately took measures to ascertain whether Mr. CILLEY was at his lodgings, and finding that he was not, Col. Webb, Mr. CILLEY, and myself, all well armed, took a carriage and repaired to Blandensburgh, where it was said the meeting was to take place. Before arriving at the ground, Col. Webb designated the following as the order of proceeding, to which we assented, believing it to be the only course left him, and demanded by every consideration of duty towards his chivalric friend, Mr. Graves: "On reaching the parties," said Col. W. "I'll approach Mr. CILLEY, and tell him that this is my quarrel, and he must fight me, and that if he aims his rifle at my friend, I'll shoot him on the spot."

We know that upon this, Messrs Graves and Wise will interfere, and that we will be ordered off the ground, but I shall tell them that we have come prepared to lose our lives or prevent the meeting, and that it cannot proceed without first disposing of us. From our knowledge of the parties it is probable that some one of them will then raise his weapon at me, WHEN I SHALL INSTANTLY SHOOT CILLEY, AND WE MUST PROCEED TO DEFEND OURSELVES IN THE BEST WAY WE CAN."

Mr. Jones and Mr. Wise put forward at the termination of every fire, the alternative of either an absolute retraction of what Mr. CILLEY had said in the discharge of his duty in the House as a representative of the people in relation to Webb, or the continued exchange of shots until one of the parties had fallen. Even these blood-hounds went further and demanded the admission that the infamous Webb, was a "man of honor"—when Mr. CILLEY had refused to say anything in relation to his character either by admission or denial. We know nothing, ourselves of the principles of the brutal code of blood—but upon them as they are stated in extracts from federal papers and from a statement of one professing to know the practice in a French paper at N. Y. we can regard the transaction—even upon the principles of duelling, in no other light than a cool, deliberate, premeditated assassination—and the seconds who instigated or permitted the firing to continue to a fatal issue, ought upon every principle of justice to be held accountable for the murder. In our estimation no more direct proof of malice aforethought, a predetermination to take life, can be produced in ninety nine cases out of a hundred where the culprits have been hanged as murderers.

But let the Journal of Commerce, a federal paper, bear its testimony on this occasion. Its judgment cannot be supposed to be swayed by political predices against Wise and his abettors.

"After Jones returned, the last time from the conference with Wise, and reported Wise's reply, Mr. CILLEY said, in a calm and collected tone—"they thirst for my blood." Mark the result:—Graves, said Wise, You have fired too high, aim lower! Graves took the advice, and CILLEY fell a corpse. Here are the uncontradicted facts stated by those who were present: & which are, no doubt, true. CILLEY fought under disadvantages which must have been well known to those on the other side; and which induces some persons here to say that Mr. CILLEY's seconds ought never to have suffered him to fight under them at all.

Cilley was near-sighted, and had chosen a certain distance—60 yards, I believe—but the seconds in measuring it off made it as long as they could, and there is credible testimony that the distance was actually a hundred yards.

1st. Cilley could not see to shoot at that distance.

2d. His rifle was so light, ninety balls to the pound, that it would not carry that distance with accuracy.

3d. He was shooting against the wind, and the wind was blowing a gale, which was a great disadvantage.

4th. He stood on a rising ground, in open light, preventing a plain work, while his antagonist was shaded by a copse of wood.

Under all these disadvantages, after disclaiming all enmity to Graves, and after every technical requisition preliminary to accommodation in honorable duelling, and even after he had declared that he did not wish to take Graves's life, Mr. CILLEY was SHOT DOWN! Is it wonderful that the public mind is uneasy under such circumstances?

That there was a settled determination to assassinate Cilley appears from a statement in the N. Y. American, published as that paper says "in justice to Mr. Webb."

The following is there stated as the intended course of this desperado,

"That Col. Webb, accompanied by Mr. CILLEY, properly armed, should repair to Mr. CILLEY's room, when Mr. Webb should offer Mr. CILLEY the choice of his duelling pistols, with the following alternatives: Either then and there to settle the question, or pledge his word of honor that he would give Col. Webb a meeting before Mr. Graves, at such place and time, and with such weapons as Mr. CILLEY might appoint; and, in the event of doing neither, then to expect the most serious consequences on the spot." Mr. Webb then added, "Should he refuse either to fight me at the time, or give the pledge required, I shall have no alternative left but to shatter his right arm, and thereby prevent his meeting my friend."

At his request, I immediately took measures to ascertain whether Mr. CILLEY was at his lodgings, and finding that he was not, Col. Webb, Mr. CILLEY, and myself, all well armed, took a carriage and repaired to Blandensburgh, where it was said the meeting was to take place. Before arriving at the ground, Col. Webb designated the following as the order of proceeding, to which we assented, believing it to be the only course left him, and demanded by every consideration of duty towards his chivalric friend, Mr. Graves: "On reaching the parties," said Col. W. "I'll approach Mr. CILLEY, and tell him that this is my quarrel, and he must fight me, and that if he aims his rifle at my friend, I'll shoot him on the spot."

We know that upon this, Messrs Graves and Wise will interfere, and that we will be ordered off the ground, but I shall tell them that we have come prepared to lose our lives or prevent the meeting, and that it cannot proceed without first disposing of us. From our knowledge of the parties it is probable that some one of them will then raise his weapon at me, WHEN I SHALL INSTANTLY SHOOT CILLEY, AND WE MUST PROCEED TO DEFEND OURSELVES IN THE BEST WAY WE CAN."



# STATEMENT.

Washington City, D. C.

February 26th, 1838.

The following is a statement of the facts of the duel between the Honorable Wm. J. Graves of Kentucky, and the Honorable Jonathan Cilley, of Maine, as agreed upon by George W. Jones and Henry A. Wise, the seconds of the parties, committed to writing between the hours of 10 1-2 o'clock, A. M. Feb. 25th, and 12 o'clock, M. this day. The seconds propose, first, to state the correspondence which occurred before the challenge, and which was communicated through others than themselves; neither second having borne any paper or message, verbal or written, to or from either of the principals, until Mr. Wise bore the challenge, and Mr. Jones bore the acceptance. This correspondence, as it has been placed in the hands of the seconds, is as follows, to wit:

Mr. Graves to Mr. Cilley.

House of Representatives.

February 20, 1838.

In the interview which I had with you this morning, when you declined receiving from me the note of Col. J. W. Webb, asking whether you were correctly reported in the Globe in what you are there represented to have said of him in this House upon the 12th inst., you will please say whether you did not remark, in substance, that in declining to receive the note, you hoped I would not consider it in any respect disrespectful to me, and that the ground on which you rested your declining to receive the note was distinctly this: That you could not consent to get yourself into personal difficulties, with conductors of public journals, for what you might think proper to say in debate upon this floor in discharge of your duties as a representative of the people, and that you did not rest your objection to our interview, upon any personal objections to Col. Webb as a gentleman.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,  
W. J. GRAVES.  
Hon. JONATHAN CILLEY.

Mr. Cilley to Mr. Graves.

House of Representatives.

February 21, 1838.

The note which you just placed in my hands has been received. In reply, I have to state that in your interview with me this morning, when you proposed to deliver a communication from Col. Webb, of the New York Courier and Enquirer, I declined to receive it, because I chose to be drawn into no controversy with him. I neither affirmed or denied any thing in regard to his character; but when you remarked that this course on my part might place you in an unpleasant situation, I stated to you, and now repeat, that I intended by the refusal no disrespect to you. Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JONA. CILLEY.  
Hon. W. J. GRAVES.

Mr. Graves to Mr. Cilley.

House of Representatives.

February 22, 1838.

Sir: Your note of yesterday in reply to mine of that date is inexplicit, unsatisfactory and insufficient—among other things in this; that in your declining to receive Col. Webb's communication, it does not disclaim any exception to him personally as a gentleman. I have, therefore, to inquire whether you declined to receive his communication, on the ground of any personal exception to him as a gentleman or man of honor? A categorical answer is expected.

Very respectfully,  
W. J. GRAVES.  
Hon. J. CILLEY.

Mr. Cilley to Mr. Graves.

House of Representatives.

February 22, 1838.

Sir: Your note of this date has just been placed in my hands. I regret that mine of yesterday was not satisfactory to you; but I cannot admit the right on your part to propound the question to which you ask a categorical answer, and therefore decline any further response to it. Very respectfully,

JONA. CILLEY.  
Hon. W. J. GRAVES.

Here follows the first paper borne by Mr. Wise:

Washington City, Feb. 23, 1838.

As you have declined accepting a communication which I bore to you from Col. Webb, and as by your note of yesterday you have refused to decline on grounds which would exonerate me from all responsibility growing out of the affair, I am left no other alternative but to ask that satisfaction which is recognised among gentlemen. My friend, Hon. Henry A. Wise, is authorised by me to make the arrangements suitable to the occasion.

Your obedient servant,  
W. J. GRAVES.

Hon. J. CILLEY.

Mr. Wise states that he presented the foregoing challenge to Mr. Cilley, in the parlor at Mr. Birch's boarding house, a few minutes before 12 o'clock, M. on Friday, the 23d inst.

In addition to the foregoing correspondence, the seconds propose to relate only such facts and circumstances as occurred with their joint knowledge, after their own participation in the foregoing affair.

On the evening of the 24th inst., about the hour of 5 o'clock, P. M. Mr. Jones the second of Mr. Cilley, delivered to Mr. Graves, in the room of Mr. Wise, and in his presence, the following note, which was the first paper borne by Mr. Jones, to wit:

Washington City, 23d Feb. 1838.

Hon. W. J. GRAVES: Your note of this morning has been received. My friend, Gen. Jones, will "make the arrangements suitable to the occasion."

Your obedient servant,  
JONA. CILLEY.

Immediately upon the presentation of the acceptance of the challenge, Mr. Graves retired leaving Mr. Jones with Mr. Wise, who submitted the following propositions for the arrangement of the meeting, to wit:—

Washington, Feb. 23, 1838.

Sir—Mr. Cilley proposes to meet Mr. Graves at such place as may be agreed upon between us, to-morrow, at 12 o'clock, M. The weapons to be used on the occasion shall be rifles; the parties placed side to side at eighty yards distance from each other; to hold the rifles horizontally at arm's length, downwards; the rifles to be cocked, and triggers set; the words to be, "Gentlemen are you ready?" After which, neither answering "No," the words shall be, in regular succession, "Fire—one, two, three, four." Neither party shall fire before the word "fire," nor after the word "four." The position of the parties at the ends of the line to be determined by lot. The second of the party losing the position shall have the giving of the word. The dress to be ordinary winter clothing, and subject to the examination of both parties. Each party may have on the ground, besides his second, a surgeon and two other friends. The seconds, for the execution of their respective trusts, are allowed to have a pair of pistols each on the ground, but no other person shall have any weapon. The rifles to be loaded in the presence of the seconds. Should Mr. Graves not be able to procure a rifle by the time prescribed, time shall be allowed for that purpose.

Your very old servant,  
GEO. W. JONES.  
Hon. HENRY A. WISE.

About 9 o'clock, P. M. at Mr. Jones' room, at Dowson's, Mr. Wise returned to him the following, answer, to wit:

Washington, Feb. 23d, 1838.

Sir: The terms arranging the meeting between Mr. Graves and Mr. Cilley, which you presented to me this evening, though unusual and objectionable, are accepted; with the understanding that the rifles are to be loaded with a single ball, and that neither party is to raise his weapon from the downward horizontal position until the word "fire."

I will inform you, sir, by the hour of 11 o'clock, A. M. to-morrow, whether Mr. Graves has been able to procure a rifle, and consequently whether he will require a postponement of the time of meeting.

Your very obedient servant,  
HENRY A. WISE.

Hon. Geo. W. JONES.

About 8 o'clock, A. M. on the 24th inst. Mr. Jones left at Mr. Wise's room the following, to wit:

Washington City, D. C. Feb. 24, 1838.

Sir—I will receive, at Dr. Reilly's, on F. street, any communication you may see proper to make me, until 11 o'clock, A. M. to-day.

Respectfully, your obedient servant,  
GEO. W. JONES.

Hon. H. A. WISE.

Dr. Reilly's, F. street, Feb. 24, 1838.

Sir—I have called at this place, in conformity with your note of this morning, to inform you that Mr. Graves has not yet been able to procure a rifle and put it in order, and cannot be ready by 12 o'clock, M. to-day. He is desirous, however, to have the meeting to-day, if possible, and I will inform you by half past 12 o'clock, M. to-day, what time to procure and prepare a weapon he will require.

Very respectfully, &c.  
HENRY A. WISE.

Hon. Geo. JONES.

Afterwards, Mr. Jones left at Mr. Wise's room the following note, to wit:

Washington, 10 1-2, A. M.

Sir—Your note dated at 10 o'clock to-day is received.

In reply, I have the pleasure to inform you that I have in my possession an excellent rifle, in good order, which is at the service of Mr. Graves.

Very respectfully, &c.  
GEO. W. JONES.

Hon. H. A. WISE.

Afterwards Mr. Jones sent to Mr. Wise's room the following note, to wit:

Washington, Feb. 24, 1838.

Sir—Through the politeness of my friend Doctor Duncan, I now tender to you, for the use of Mr. Graves, the rifle referred to in my note 10 1-2, A. M. this morning.

Respectfully, your obedient servant,  
GEO. W. JONES.

Hon. H. A. WISE.

And with this note a rifle and powder flask, and balls, were left at Mr. Wise's room.

Congressional Proceedings.

IN SENATE—Monday, Feb. 26, 1838.

Mr. Williams rose and addressed the Senate as follows:—

My friend;—I came into the Senate this morning, exhausted and overwhelmed, to perform the melancholy duty of announcing to you and to the Senate of the United States the sudden and lamented death of my friend and colleague, the Hon. JONA. CILLEY, a Representative from Maine in the Congress of the U-

ited States.

At the last adjournment of the Senate, Mr. Cilley was in perfect health, full of hope and expectation of making himself useful in asserting and vindicating the violated rights of his State, and of distinguishing himself in the great questions which now so deeply agitate the public mind; full of zeal and ardent patriotism, and of devotion to the great cause of human liberty and human rights, he considered that a crisis had arisen when his country had a right to claim the services of her sons. He obeyed the call of his constituents, relinquishing the enjoyments of the domestic circle of a wife and three children. He is now a lifeless corpse; all his hopes are blasted and destroyed, and his constituents are deprived of the services of an able and faithful representative.

Mr. Cilley was a native of New Hampshire, and belonged to one of the most ancient and respectable families in that State. Patriotism and bravery were his inheritance. His grandfather was the distinguished patriot and brave officer of the Revolution, General CILLEY; and his brother, Captain JOSEPH CILLEY, was the gallant leader of the heroic charge under Colonel MILLER, at the battle of Bridgewater Heights, in the last war.

The deceased was a graduate of Bowdoin College, in Maine, and by his superior talents and application attained a high standing at the bar in that State. He was a good lawyer, an able advocate, and a powerful debator.

From early life Mr. CILLEY was ardently attached to the principles of free government; a zealous advocate of the rights of the whole people, and a determined opponent of the claim of the few to tyrannize over the many.

In 1832, Mr. CILLEY was elected to the House of Representatives in Maine, and in 1835 and 1836 was Speaker of that body, where his powers and love of country became so conspicuous, that in 1837 he was elected to Congress in a district in which the majority were his political opponents.

Of his conduct here I need not speak, for all who hear me, and all who knew Mr. CILLEY in the other end of the Capitol, will bear testimony to his ability, to his open, frank, and determined course, to the high order of his talents and powers as a debator, and to the respect and deference he paid to the rights of others.

As a man, Mr. CILLEY was warm, ardent, generous, noble: as a friend, true, faithful, abiding. He was in the meridian of his life, aged 35; the past was the earnest of the future.

In his death Maine has lost one of her brightest ornaments, and the nation is bereft of a devoted patriot, and an ardent, zealous supporter of its free institutions.

The sun which set upon the lifeless corpse of my late friend and colleague, rose bright and cheering upon his distant fireside circle, and the wife of his bosom blessed his gladsome beams, and told her innocent children that he brought the return of their father one day nearer.

Alas! "nor wife nor children shall see him more." Who shall now penetrate that bereaved mansion, and witness the tears, the agony, the distraction of the widow and the fatherless?—President, I cannot. May the Father of mercies be their comforter and their support.

Of the cause and manner of the death of Mr. CILLEY, I forbear to speak, but allow me to say, that it is my solemn conviction that he entertained no ill will, and intended no disrespect to Mr. Graves, in any thing that occurred; and that in accepting the call, he did nothing more than he believed indispensable, to avoid disgrace to himself, to his family, and to his constituents.

Mr. Williams then submitted the following resolutions, which were unanimously adopted:

Resolved unanimously, That the Senate will attend the funeral of the Hon. JONA. CILLEY, late a member of the House of Representatives, from the State of Maine, at the hour of 12 o'clock to-morrow, and as a testimony of respect for the memory of the deceased, they will go into mourning, by wearing crape round the left arm for thirty days.

And, as an additional mark of respect to the memory of the deceased,

Resolved, That the Senate do now adjourn.

The Senate then adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

MONDAY, February 25, 1838.

DEATH OF THE HON. JONATHAN CILLEY.

As soon as the Journal was read,

Mr. Fairfield rose and addressed the House as follows:

Mr. SPEAKER.—An event has occurred since our last adjournment, which has spread a deep gloom over this community, and deprived this body of one of its most valuable members. I allude, sir, to the death of my late colleague, the Hon. JONA. CILLEY, which, it is my painful duty to announce, took place on Saturday last near this city. One hour we saw him in full life, standing in the midst of us in all the pride and vigor of manhood; the next a helpless inanimate corpse. It is a case, sir, most melancholy and heart-rending in all its circumstances, (of which, however, this is not the occasion to speak,) and brings home to our minds, with peculiar emphasis, the truth, but solemn truth, that "in the midst of life we are in death."

My deceased colleague was a man of uncommon talents. His mind was strong, vigorous and well disciplined. He had, moreover, that indomitable spirit of perseverance in all the pursuits of an elevated and honorable ambition, which would not rest satisfied with low attainments. He partook largely too, of that fearless patriotism of his ancestors, which made

them "pour out their blood like water," in the war of the Revolution, and which also displayed itself in the brave and chivalrous conduct of an only brother during the late war.

His fellow citizens had often elected him to places of honor and trust in the State of his adoption, and had now given him a seat among the Representatives of the nation—among us, who are now spared to deplore his abrupt and tragical removal. Though he had not long been a member of this body, he had established an enviable and an enduring reputation. His ready powers of debate, his warm and fervid eloquence, his manly bearing towards opponents and courteous demeanor to all, will be readily acknowledged, and long remembered, by the members of this House.

That his sudden and melancholy death will produce a deep sensation in Maine, and indeed throughout the whole country, no one can doubt; but the announcement of the dreadful fact to the partner of his bosom, sitting in the midst of the young pledge of their mutual affection, little dreaming of the blow that awaits her, it is shocking to contemplate. No one can think of it but with feelings of the most poignant and heartfelt grief. May "He who tempers the wind to the shorn lamb, and who "will not break the bruised reed," give her that consolation and support which she needs, and which no earthly power can give.

With this brief and imperfect announcement, I beg leave to submit the following resolutions:

Resolved, That the members of this House will attend the funeral of JONA. CILLEY, deceased, late a member of the House from the State of Maine, at 12 o'clock to-morrow.

Resolved, That a committee be appointed to take order for superintending the funeral of JONATHAN CILLEY, deceased.

Resolved, That the members and officers of this House will testify their respect for the memory of JONATHAN CILLEY, by wearing crape on the left arm for thirty days.

The resolutions were unanimously adopted. On motion of Mr. Fairfield, The House then adjourned till 12 o'clock to-morrow.

## THE INVESTIGATION.

We present, below, a portion of the debate on Mr. Fairfield's motion for a committee of investigation. The ruffians who murdered Mr. CILLEY will find that he is not the only man from the North who had the courage to treat their blustering arrogance as it deserves. Mr. FAIRFIELD is a man who knows no fear in the discharge of his duty—he has done himself great honor by a prompt demand for an investigation—but he has done no more than was anticipated by those who knew him best. The democracy of the state will never forget nor cease to love him the more, for his course upon this exciting and important question—and if there be any who are inclined to try the experiment of bullying him down, they will ascertain that he neither counts nor shuns responsibility—and that although he will not fight a duel, he will put munitions to the blush by his moral courage and firmness.

Portland Argus.

Mr. Garland of Louisiana stated that if he had been in the House when the vote to suspend was taken, he should have recorded his name against it, for he was opposed to any investigation of the matter, and he had therefore voted for Mr. Bell's motion to postpone, and he went on to give his reasons against the proposition. The investigation was one that would produce most distressing results, and could lead to no possible good. It could not be designed to asperse the dead, and such was the high character of the living in his own state that it was impossible for that House to fix a stain upon him. Mr. G. believed also it was intended to give a political turn, out of doors at least, to this transaction, and he reproached the course of the ministers of the gospel yesterday in their allusion to it in performing the funeral obsequies.

Mr. Evans said no one could regret more than he did the catastrophe that had taken place, and if the resolution offered was designed to do honor to the deceased's memory, he would vote for it. If it was intended to express the disapprobation of the House upon the practice that led to Mr. C's death, he would vote for it. Was it designed to take cognizance of all concerned in the matter? If so, they must go into it remotely as well as closely, directly and indirectly; and though he was at a loss to see the object, but believing some good was designed, he should vote for it.

Mr. Fairfield said, it has been with feelings of surprise and pain that I have listened to the remarks of my colleague (Mr. Evans) and the gentleman from Louisiana, (Mr. Garland.)—And in regard to remarks of the latter gentleman, my surprise was mingled with no small degree of indignation. That feeling, however, has subsided. If that gentleman is capable of entering into my feelings in moving this inquiry into the causes which led to the death of my friend and colleague, and can find no motive but a political or sinister one, I cannot dignify the feeling I entertain for him by calling it resentment. Sir, I have no wish to use harsh epithets, nor will I commence an attack upon any man; but self-defence is a right which I cannot willingly yield.

The gentleman from Louisiana has also insinuated that I knew of the contemplated duel, and stood by without making any effort to prevent it, and now that it has terminated fatally to my friend, have come into the House to move a committee of investigation. To that gentleman, sir, I have no reply to make, but to the House I wish to say, that I did not know that a challenge had been sent to my colleague

by the survivor in the contest until near 12 o'clock of the day on which they fought, when I was told that they had already gone out, and no one knew what ground they had selected.—Neither of my colleagues, nor myself, were his confidants in this unfortunate affair.

[The Speaker here interrupted Mr. F. and stated the circumstances of the duel could not be gone into under this resolution.]

Well sir, let that pass then. But my colleague (Mr. Evans) comes in with his innuendoes. He cannot possibly perceive the object of moving this inquiry. What is it? says the gentleman. What can be effected by it? what good can it do? Sir, is it possible that my friend and colleague can seriously entertain such questions on this subject? One of your number, a Representative from the State of Maine, was shot down and deprived of life by another member; and when a resolution is moved to inquire into the circumstances, and have the facts reported to the House, that some action may be had thereon, my colleague is at a loss to perceive the object of such a resolution!

He is in great doubt, and says that if any evil comes of it, he shall wash his hands off it. Sir, when I ask that gentleman to assume and relieve me from a responsibility voluntarily incurred by myself, I shall be so explicit as not to be misunderstood. But of all affairs in which I was ever engaged, this is the last from which I would wish to be relieved. If the country and the House will not sustain this inquiry, and I am to incur consequences from which my colleague is so desirous of washing his hands, I have only to say that a foreknowledge of all would not have changed my course. What I have done has been a matter of duty, and from the performance of that no danger shall deter me. Hence my friend from Virginia (Mr. Bowdler) will pardon me for declining to accede to his request, and withdraw my resolutions.

Is it asked, what is the object? I answer, to have the facts correctly reported to the House, and then to take such action as the facts warrant. If any members have been guilty to that extent which warrants their expulsion, why let them be expelled: for one I will not shrink from such a course if it should seem to be the proper one.

But it is said by the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. Garland,) that this thing cannot be prosecuted without serious consequences; and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. Johnson,) has said that if he was placed on such a committee, he should feel a necessity of going thoroughly armed. Sir, I am no duellist. I have adopted principles that forbid revengeful or vindictive feelings, much more the taking of a fellow creature's life. But, sir, I will not be deterred from doing what I deem to be my duty, by any threats which may be thrown out from any quarter. And has it come to this, that a member of this House is to be called to account out of it for words spoken in debate, and shut down; and that, when an inquiry into the circumstances is proposed, we are told to take care—that such an inquiry cannot be had without exposing ourselves to personal danger?—Sir, if we have really come to this pass, it is high time the country knew it; and it is high time, too, that some steps should be taken to prevent the evil, and to have a just and fearless representation of the people maintained. The course now proposed is taking one step towards that object, and I hope it will be followed up by such measures as will effectually secure the members of this House in freedom of debate and security of person.

James Watson Webb, has published another shameless manifesto, in which he undertakes to throw off that gentleman—says that nobody shall repeat the story of the \$52,000 transaction which he calls a slander!—and that if members of Congress will not accept a challenge from him, they "are not to accept beyond his reach!"

Webb's statements as to the duel are utterly false without doubt—being wholly and totally contradicted by the statement published over the name of Webb's own friend—Henry A. Wise! Webb represents the difficulty as a question of veracity between Messrs. Cilley and Graves, regarding the verbal explanation given to the latter by the former! Our readers will recollect that in relation to this point according to the statement of the seconds, not one word was said on the ground!—and yet Webb has the unblinking assurance to set it up as the point of dispute between the parties.

Augusta Age.

The Journal of Commerce—which he it remembered, is of the same party with Webb, Wise & Co.—has the following comments on this manifesto of Webb:—

So reads another chapter in this horrid history. How strangely erroneous must the writer's estimate be, of the people around him and the way to respectably in their esteem. Here is the avowed proclamation of the returning chameleon. Does he really expect that this decree of silence will strike terror through the United States, shutting the mouth and paralyzing the hand of every "respectable person," so that no one shall dare to repeat the story of the \$52,000? For ourselves, we must say, that while we hold a pen, it shall be free. Assassination alone can cure us of our love of liberty. We shall continue to write just as we think the interest of our country require us to write, and if we are assassinated by the hands of the author of this proclamation, we have no doubt for fellow citizens will take care to see the assassin hanged. Of this however we do not suppose there is any great danger, though, with the blood-thirsty spirit which we have seen displayed within the last few days,—the purposes of fam-like atrocity which this man has so boastfully announce

ed,—we cannot quoted safe. no to write as business none menace, and to the protection The menace of Congress, understand it to assassination. of this threat I do not think he again mole Congress, well with the unno

It is a thought of a gentleman supposed the him; and not to throw in our recollection We hope it

The cup of public horror beyond all language is not to express the The most p community, a the actors in the that the public aggression: their dearest public men are unworried, for

CON F

We have dev to the accompani ments of our co action. Oppos education and I were perhaps in of blame to the The disclosure make it appear to be out of the of, and the m from in opposi have not undi d the life of

viewed that the resorted to lead of some odds are at of out a yet, he has no dible, now? enough to stat theation of the tion, that the our people, a and the pome from every to go, and let it ded to. I first to, in which he mention or disj pum of his disj shall not prot Does he think is a silence the threat will ver tain. We tru to belong with in the even at find he has no pass. It is no eo by threats countenance of infamous. Th out their conti and boldly.

The discus accounts was Federalists and their vigor. Abstract of wil generalists will g-ary, because to a National Bank stand at which he should see a between the is plain and it. The op should have the Bill dem for the use of extracting to deprive it convenient they paid the the Sub Tre to whom it can always that are equ best method

The Leg predilection majority in This House law and the one suspen in relation specie. I stand have q, have been go, it is p before a p



44-38861-1000



